

ANNEX B

RESPONDENT	CONSULTEE COMMENTS	OFFICER COMMENTS
City Guest House	Objects to the removal of parking space from the GM bay. The guest houses pay for separate parking permits to allow our guests to park in the GM bay. These permits do not allow them to park in the residents bays. The scheme would restrict the availability of these spaces from the existing 5 spaces (for 6 permitis) down to four, and would thus put the spaces under more pressure.	The city end of the GM bay is next to the gated access from properties behind Monkgate . Visibility is poor for vehicles emerging on to Monkgate from the access and it was felt that the situation could best be relieved by removing one of the spaces to the right of the access.
The Cavalier	Objects to the removal of parking space from GM bay.	Comment as above
Orchard Court Management Co Ltd	Object on safety grounds. Suggestions are put forward relating to the improvement of the visibility for deivers emerging from Agar Street on to Monkgate, but no specific objection to TRO are made. We feel that the City Council should be making changes to the current arrangement which is a safety hazard and of which it is aware.	No speciic objection to the TRO changes to comment on. The visibility issue could best be tackled by a separate scheme.
Paul Bushnell	Objects if number of car parking spaces are reduced.	Number of spaces is being retained.
Fauzia Mir	Objects on safety grounds. Submitted photographs to demonstrate visibility issues at Agar Street junction. Suggestions put forward, but no specific objection to TRO made.	No speciic objection to the TRO changes to comment on. The visibility issue could best be tackled by a separate scheme.

